

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT  
AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE**

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF SALT RIVER PROJECT  
AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND  
POWER DISTRICT, IN CONFORMANCE  
WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF  
ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES,  
SECTIONS 40-360, et seq., FOR A  
CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL  
COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE  
SOUTHEAST POWER LINK PROJECT, A  
DOUBLE-CIRCUIT 230KV  
TRANSMISSION LINE ORIGINATING  
FROM THE EXISTING SANTAN-  
BROWNING 230KV LINE TO A NEW  
SUBSTATION LOCATED EAST OF THE  
LOOP 202/STATE ROUTE (SR)-24  
INTERCHANGE AND TERMINATING  
AT THE PERMITTED FUTURE ABEL-  
PFISTER-BALL 230KV LINE WITHIN  
THE CITY OF MESA, ARIZONA AND  
TOWN OF QUEEN CREEK, ARIZONA IN  
MARICOPA COUNTY.

**Docket No. L-00000B-18-0265-00180**

**Case No. 180**

**SUPPLEMENT TO APPLICATION  
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL  
COMPATIBILITY**

On August 1, 2018 the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP) filed an Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility for its Southeast Power Link Project (Project).

By this filing the SRP narrows its application to delete the route option on the northeast side of State Route 24 (SR-24), between points P5 and P6 on the Project map. In this regard all references in the application, introduction and exhibits that reference an option on the northeast side of SR-24 are withdrawn. These include the following changes:

1. SRP replaces the section entitled Central Alignment on Page 13-14 of the introduction with the following text:

1           **Central Alignment**

2           **SR-24 Proposed Alignment**

3           From the RS-31 Substation Siting Area, the Proposed Alignment travels southeast  
4           along the south side of the future SR-24 to an intersection with Crismon Road (P5 –  
5           P6). The Proposed Alignment length is 2.55 miles. This area of the Project is  
6           impacted by current FAA surfaces, which will require the construction of poles at  
7           heights as low as approximately 65 feet and with shorter spans. SRP has pending  
8           with the FAA an application to modify airport procedures. If the application is  
9           granted, SRP will construct at or near standard heights.

10          FAA requirements regarding radar interference may also require shorter poles, such  
11          that on parts of the alignment the two circuits may be constructed on separate  
12          structures.

13          2.       In Section 4.2.2.3 of the application, the section entitled Central Alignment  
14          is modified as follows:

15               **Central Alignment:**

16               **SR-24 Proposed Alignment** - P5 – P6 –2.55 miles.

17          3.       In Section 4.2.4 of the application, the section entitled Central Alignment is  
18          modified as follows:

19               **Central Alignment:**

20               **SR-24 Proposed Alignment** - P5 – P6 – \$13.7 million

21          4.       In Section 4.2.5 of the application, the section entitled Central Alignment is  
22          modified as follows:

23               **SR-24 Proposed Alignment**


24               From the RS-31 Substation Siting Area, the Proposed Alignment travels southeast  
25               along the south side of the future SR-24 to an intersection with Crismon Road (P5 –  
26               P6). The Proposed Alignment would cross Sections 21, 27, 28, and 34, within  
27

1 Township 1 South, Range 7 East. The Proposed Alignment total length is 2.55  
2 miles (south side of SR-24).

3 5. To the extent that other portions of the exhibits and application reference or  
4 depict an alignment on the north side of SR-24, these references and depictions are  
5 withdrawn. This includes Exhibits A, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, and J.

6 DATED this 3rd day of August, 2018.

7 JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON, P.L.C.

8 By   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr.  
11 Garrett J. Olexa  
12 One East Washington Street, Suite 1900  
13 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2554

14 AND

15 Karilee Ramaley  
16 Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement  
17 and Power District  
18 Senior Attorney  
19 P. O. Box 52025 PAB4TA  
20 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

21 ORIGINAL and twenty-five (25) copies  
22 of the foregoing filed this 3rd day of  
23 August, 2018, with:

24 Arizona Corporation Commission  
25 Hearing Division – Docket Control  
26 1200 W. Washington Street  
27 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COPY of the foregoing sent via email  
this 3rd day of August, 2018, to:

Thomas Chenal  
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line  
Siting Committee  
OFFICE OF THE ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL  
1275 W. Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
[thomas.chenal@azag.gov](mailto:thomas.chenal@azag.gov)

1 Marta T. Hetzer  
2 COASH & COASH, INC.  
3 1802 N. 7th Street  
4 Phoenix, AZ 85006  
5 [mh@coashandcoash.com](mailto:mh@coashandcoash.com)

6 Jim Smith, City Attorney  
7 CITY OF MESA  
8 P. O. Box 1466  
9 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466  
10 [jim.smith@mesaaz.gov](mailto:jim.smith@mesaaz.gov)

11 Scott A. Holcomb  
12 DICKINSON WRIGHT  
13 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400  
14 Phoenix, AZ 85004  
15 Attorney for Town of Queen Creek  
16 [sholcomb@dickinsonwright.com](mailto:sholcomb@dickinsonwright.com)

17 Susan E. Demmitt  
18 GAMMAGE & BURNHAM  
19 Two North Central, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
20 Phoenix, AZ 85004  
21 Attorney for Harvard Investments  
22 [sdemmitt@gblaw.com](mailto:sdemmitt@gblaw.com)

23 Court Rich  
24 ROSE LAW GROUP  
25 7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300  
26 Scottsdale, AZ 85251  
27 Attorney for Mesa-Casa Grande Land Co, LLC, et al.  
[crich@roselawgroup.com](mailto:crich@roselawgroup.com)

Paul E. Gilbert  
BEUS GILBERT PLLC  
701 North 44<sup>th</sup> Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85008  
Attorney for Pacific Proving, Bill Levine  
and Andrew Cohn  
[pgilbert@beusgilbert.com](mailto:pgilbert@beusgilbert.com)

By 