Call to Order
Invocation
Pledge of Allegiance
Roll Call
Safety Minute

1. Customer Utility Panel (CUP) Chairman’s Report
   .................................................................................................................. CUP CHAIRMAN MICHAEL HUTCHINSON

2. CONSENT AGENDA: The following agenda item(s) will be considered as a group by the Board of Directors and will be enacted with one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these item(s) unless a Board Member requests, in which event the agenda item(s) will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered as a separate item.......................... PRESIDENT DAVID ROUSSEAU

   A. Request for approval of the minutes for the meeting of January 9, 2023.

   B. Request for approval of the Monthly Cash Statements for November and December 2022 (recommended by the Finance and Budget Committee on January 24, 2023).

   C. Request for approval to dedicate a 65-foot strip of land, approximately 3.7 acres located at Elliot Road west of 383rd Avenue, in an unincorporated area of Maricopa County (recommended by the Facilities and Support Services Committee on January 24, 2023). (See proposed resolution)

   D. Request for approval to convey fee interest to Electrical District No. 2 (ED2) for the portion of Pinal Central Receiving Station, known as the ED2 69 Kilovolt (kV) Switchyard, in accordance with the Participation Agreement (recommended by the Facilities and Support Services Committee on January 24, 2023). (See proposed resolution)

3. Report of the Compensation Committee Meeting of January 17, 2023
   .................................................................................................................. DIRECTOR RANDY MILLER

   A. Request for approval to implement a Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) in the SRP Employees’ Retirement Plan (the Plan) for certain retirees in the plan.

   B. Report on action taken by the Compensation Committee, as fiduciary of the Plan, authorizing Management to remove Miura Global from the Opportunistic platform and reallocate assets to other open investment managers within the platform.
4. **Report of the Power Committee Meeting of January 24, 2023**

................................................................. DIRECTOR MARIO HERRERA

A. Request for approval of SRP’s participation in Phase 1 of Southwest Power Pool’s Markets+ development.

B. Closed Session, pursuant to A.R.S. §30-805(B), to consider matters relating to competitive activity, including trade secrets or privileged or confidential commercial or financial information with respect to a request for approval to 1) amend an existing 400 megawatt (MW) solar power purchase agreement and 2) enter into a new power purchase agreement for 394 MW of solar energy.

5. **Report of the Finance and Budget Committee Meeting of January 24, 2023**

................................................................. DIRECTOR KEITH WOODS

Request for approval to execute multi-year contracts for the supply of a new Meter Data Management System (MDMS) with the primary purpose of receiving meter data information from the field devices and then providing that information for customer billing, load analysis, and other analytics.

6. **General Manager and Chief Executive Officer (GM/CEO) Interview Process**

................................................................. GERI MINGURA

Informational presentation regarding the potential processes that the SRP Board could consider regarding its interviews of the candidates for the SRP GM/CEO position.

7. **Voluntary Contributions for Tax Year 2023**

................................................................. JEREMY FRY

Request for approval for SRP to elect to pay Voluntary Contributions in lieu of Property Taxes approximating $120 million for tax year 2023.

8. **Report on Current Events by the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer and Designees**

................................................................. KELLY BARR

A. Power System....................................................... JOHN COGGINS

B. Finance and Information Services............................... AIDAN McSHEFFREY

C. Water Resources ................................................... LESLIE MEYERS


................................................................. STEPHEN FLORA

10. **Council Chairman’s Report**

................................................................. COUNCIL CHAIRMAN TYLER FRANCIS

11. **President’s Report / Future Agenda Topics**

................................................................. PRESIDENT DAVID ROUSSEAU
The Board may vote during the meeting to go into Executive Session, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(3), for the purpose of discussion or consultation for legal advice with legal counsel to the Board on any of the matters listed on the agenda.

The Board may go into Closed Session, pursuant to A.R.S. §30-805(B), for discussion of records and proceedings relating to competitive activity, including trade secrets or privileged or confidential commercial or financial information.

Visitors: The public has the option to attend in-person or observe via Zoom and may receive teleconference information by contacting the Corporate Secretary’s Office at (602) 236-4398. If attending in-person, all property in your possession, including purses, briefcases, packages, or containers, will be subject to inspection.
SAFETY MINUTE: ROUNDABOUTS
SRP BOARD

SARA MCCOY
DIRECTOR, RISK MANAGEMENT
FEBRUARY 6, 2023
SAFETY MINUTE:

Go S-L-O-W

- Slow to 15-25mph
- Let vehicles already circulating go ahead
- Obey one-way signs
- Watch for pedestrians, bicyclists, vehicles

YIELD, DON’T MERGE

Remember these KEY tips
1. SLOW DOWN
2. LOOK LEFT
3. PROCEED WITH CAUTION

Traffic always travels counterclockwise around the center island.

For more information visit azdot.gov/roundabouts
Remember these KEY tips

1. SLOW DOWN
2. LOOK LEFT
3. PROCEED WITH CAUTION

Go SLOW:
- Slow down to 15-25 mph when entering.
- Let vehicles already circulating go ahead.
- Obey all one-way signs.
- Watch for pedestrians, bicyclists, emergency vehicles and large vehicles.
FAQ’s

What is the difference between a traffic circle and a modern roundabout?

Many traffic circles require circulating vehicles to grant the right of way to entering vehicles and can be very large or very small. They can operate at higher speeds and often require motorists to move from one lane to another.

Modern roundabouts include improvements such as yielding to as opposed to merging with circulating traffic, deflection at entry and low-speed entry by design.

Is a modern roundabout like a four-way stop?

No, a modern roundabout is not a four-way stop. Both intersections are what the engineering community calls a “method of moving traffic,” but four-way stops require all traffic to stop prior to entering the intersection. Modern roundabouts require motorists to yield at entryways. All traffic entering a modern roundabout must follow the golden rule of the modern roundabout: Never merge. Here are some other differences between roundabouts and four-way stops.

- Four-way stops yield to the right, while roundabouts yield to the left (similar to a right turn at a red light).
- Traffic in a modern roundabout circulates counterclockwise.
- Motorists coming from different directions take turns in a first-come-first-served order at a four-way stop. This is not the case with roundabouts, where each driver chooses a safe gap to enter.

How do I enter a modern roundabout when traffic is congested?

First, slow down! You should approach a modern roundabout at no more than 25 mph. Most importantly, do not merge. Always yield to traffic in circulation when entering a modern roundabout. Do not attempt to cut in front of traffic, but wait for a safe gap. The drivers already in the roundabout have the right of way.

Will modern roundabouts slow down traffic?

In most situations, a modern roundabout can handle higher traffic volumes with less delay than traffic signals because motorists do not stop for traffic lights. A two-lane roundabout will handle the same capacity as other major intersections in the Valley, and a three-lane roundabout handles up to 6,000 vehicles per hour.

Are roundabouts safe for pedestrians and bicyclists?

While it depends on the number of pedestrians and vehicles, in many instances, a modern roundabout can be safer for pedestrians than a traffic signal. Pedestrian crossing is reduced to two simple crossings of one-way traffic that is proceeding at relatively slow speeds. Pedestrian safety is improved further by the a pedestrian crosswalk sign placed right where a vehicle enters a modern roundabout. Even with this precaution, it is recommended that pedestrians always use caution and designated crosswalks.

Auto-pedestrian crash rates are usually lower at modern roundabouts than traffic signals. Also pedestrian injuries that do occur tend to be less serious because of the relatively low speeds demanded by modern roundabouts.

Properly designed modern roundabouts safely accommodate bicycles. Because vehicles are traveling at 15-25 mph, bicyclists can negotiate this traffic mode like a car.

What’s the price tag? Are modern roundabouts more costly to the taxpayer?

The price tag of modern roundabouts versus traditional traffic-control methods can vary. Demographics, geography and environmental elements all make a difference when engineers, communities, and city and state planners begin to consider how to move traffic from one street to another.

Sometimes the financial cost of right-of-way acquisition is higher than the cost of traffic signal construction, and sometimes it is not a factor. For example, though modern roundabouts do not require traffic-light electricity, the maintenance of landscaping or public art can be a cost. However, the reduction in fatal, injury and pedestrian crashes can reduce other costs, including car insurance premiums, health insurance premiums, and physical and emotional trauma. When safety factors go up, the cost to society goes down.

How to Drive in a Roundabout
MINUTES
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT

January 9, 2023

In accordance with a written order and call signed by the President of the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (the District) and filed with Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty, a meeting of the Board of Directors of SRP convened at 9:30 a.m. on Monday, January 9, 2023, from the Board Room at the SRP Administration Building, 1500 North Mill Avenue, Tempe, Arizona. This meeting was conducted in-person and via teleconference in compliance with open meeting law guidelines. The District and Salt River Valley Water Users’ Association (the Association) are collectively known as SRP.

President D. Rousseau called the meeting to order, and Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty entered into the minutes the order for the meeting, as follows:

Tempe, Arizona
January 3, 2023

NOTICE OF MEETING

I, David Rousseau, the duly elected and qualified President of the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (the District), do hereby order a meeting of the Board of Directors to be held at 9:30 a.m. on Monday, January 9, 2023, from the Board Room at the SRP Administration Building, 1500 North Mill Avenue, Tempe, Arizona. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss, consider, or make decisions on the matters listed on the agenda.

WITNESS my hand this 3rd day of January 2023.

/s/ David Rousseau
President

Director R.C. Arnett offered the invocation. Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Board Members present at roll call were President D. Rousseau; and Directors R.C. Arnett, N.R. Brown, M.J. Herrera, K.J. Johnson, A.G. McAfee, R.J. Miller, K.L. Mohr-Almeida, K.H. O’Brien, M.V. Pace, P.E. Rovey, J.M. White Jr., L.C. Williams, S.H. Williams, and K.B. Woods.

Also present were District Vice President C.J. Dobson; Governor L.D. Rovey of the Association; Council Chairman T.M. Francis; Council Vice Chairman J.R. Shelton; Mmes. K.J. Barr, M.J. Burger, A.P. Chabrier, K.A. Heth, S.C. McCoy, L.A. Meyers,

In compliance with A.R.S. §38-431.02, Andrew Davis of the Corporate Secretary’s Office had posted a notice and agenda of the meeting of the Board of Directors at the SRP Administration Building, 1500 North Mill Avenue, Tempe, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Friday, January 6, 2023.

Safety Minute

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Sara C. McCoy, SRP Director of Risk Management, provided a safety minute regarding aggressive driving behaviors and the practice of polite driving habits.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Ms. S.C. McCoy left the meeting.

Consent Agenda

President D. Rousseau requested a motion for Board approval of the Consent Agenda, in its entirety, as presented.

On a motion duly made by Director J.M. White Jr. and seconded by Director M.V. Pace, the Board unanimously approved and adopted the following items on the Consent Agenda:

A. Approval of the minutes for the meetings of October 25 and December 5, 2022

B. Approval for PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) to serve as an independent public accountant for audits conducted for Fiscal Year 2023 (FY23) (recommended by the Audit Committee on December 8, 2022)

Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty polled the Directors on Director J.M. White Jr.’s motion to approve the Consent Agenda, in its entirety. The vote was recorded as follows:


NO: None (0)
Report of the Power Committee Meeting of December 13, 2022

Director M.J. Herrera reported that Management, at the Power Committee meeting of December 13, 2022, requested approval of SRP’s participation in the next phase (3B) of Western Power Pool’s Western Resource Adequacy Program and to authorize the President, Vice President, General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Associate General Manager and Chief Financial Executive or Associate General Manager and Chief Power System Executive to execute 1) the Memorandum of Understanding between the Western Power Pool and SRP; 2) the Work Order Under General Services Agreement for Participation in Phase 3B of the Western Resource Adequacy Program Implementation; 3) the Western Resource Adequacy Program Agreement; and 4) any subsequent amendments to such agreements and work order that do not materially modify the terms of the agreements. He said that SRP's cost for Phase 3B is approximately $6.8 million through 2028, with an estimated one-time payment of $305,000 due upon signing; and with yearly costs estimated between $906,000 to $1.2 million for the next six years. Director M.J. Herrera noted that if SRP decides to exit the program, a two-year notice is required.

On a motion duly made by Director M.J. Herrera, seconded by Director R.C. Arnett and carried, the Board granted approval, as recommended by the Power Committee.

Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty polled the Directors on Director M.J. Herrera’s motion for approval. The vote was recorded as follows:


NO: None (0)

ABSTAINED: None (0)

ABSENT: None (0)

Continuing, Director M.J. Herrera reported that Management, at the Power Committee meeting of December 13, 2022, also requested approval to authorize the President, Vice President, General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Associate General Manager and Chief Financial Executive or any designee of the foregoing, to execute the extension of the two El Paso Natural Gas Transportation Service Agreements, together with any subsequent amendments to such agreements that do not materially modify the terms therein. He said that both El Paso Natural Gas and SRP have agreed to modify the two Transportation Service Agreements to include the construction of the Copper Crossing lateral and extend the expiration of both agreements. Director M.J. Herrera noted that the Legacy Transportation Service Agreement negotiated extension is from 2023 to 2049, and the Summer Only Transportation Service Agreement negotiated
extension is from 2032 to 2047. He explained that the negotiated annual gas transportation costs will be $39.1 million from 2023-2027 and $37.1 million from 2027-2047.

On a motion duly made by Director M.J. Herrera, seconded by Director S.H. Williams and carried, the Board granted approval, as recommended by the Power Committee.

Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty polled the Directors on Director M.J. Herrera’s motion for approval. The vote was recorded as follows:

NO: Directors R.J. Miller and K.L. Mohr-Almeida (2)
ABSTAINED: None (0)
ABSENT: None (0)

Report of the Finance and Budget Committee Meeting of December 13, 2022

Director K.B. Woods reported that Management, at the Finance and Budget Committee meeting of December 13, 2022, requested approval to develop and issue a Request for Proposal on behalf of the Board for the purpose of engaging a principal pricing consultant associated with the development of a Buy-Through program for SRP customers. He explained that according to legislation, on or before January 1, 2024, a public power entity that is an agricultural improvement district, established pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Title 48, Chapter 17, shall offer a Buy-Through program that includes terms, conditions, and limitations, including a minimum qualifying load and a maximum amount of program participation; and is structured to maintain system reliability and avoid a cost shift to non-participating customers. Director K.B. Woods said that the Board consultant will review SRP Management’s Buy-Through program proposal and present comments to SRP’s Board of Directors. He noted that the consultant will be retained from April 2023 through September 2023.

On a motion duly made by Director K.B. Woods, seconded by Director M.J. Herrera and carried, the Board granted approval, as recommended by the Finance and Budget Committee.

Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty polled the Directors on Director K.B. Woods’ motion for approval. The vote was recorded as follows:

NO: None (0)
ABSTAINED: None (0)
ABSENT: None (0)

Copies of the handout distributed are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Re-Appointment of Customer Utility Panel Members to a Second Term

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Rob R. Taylor, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Public Affairs Executive, stated that the purpose of the presentation was to request approval to re-appoint Customer Utility Panel (CUP) members to a second term. He provided an overview of the CUP and stated that the CUP Members provide a voice for SRP residential electric customers who reside outside of the District boundary.

Mr. R.R. Taylor reminded the Board that there are seven areas of CUP representation with two CUP Members from each area. He provided an overview of the CUP areas and reported that one seat from each area was up for re-appointment. Mr. R.R. Taylor concluded by recommending that the Board approve the re-appointment of Alton Washington, Julie Graham, Mike Hutchinson, Scott Stilgenbauer, Mary Gloria, and Bryant Powell to represent their respective CUP areas.

On a motion duly made by Director J.M. White Jr., seconded by Director M.J. Herrera and carried, the Board granted approval of the SRP CUP membership, as presented.

Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty polled the Directors on Director J.M. White Jr.’s motion for approval. The vote was recorded as follows:

NO: None (0)
ABSTAINED: None (0)
ABSENT: None (0)

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Messrs. E.T. Hallock and J.S. Hetrick left the meeting.

Finance Presentation

Jeff A. Wright, SRP Director of Financial Reporting, referenced the financial handout distributed to the Members relative to the combined highlights of operations of the District and Association for the month of November 2022. Using a PowerPoint presentation, he compared the actual versus budgeted figures for categories such as
CNR, system sales, and customer count. Mr. J.A. Wright concluded by reviewing the financial summary and key financial indicators for the month of November 2022.

Mr. J.A. Wright responded to questions from the Board.

Copies of the handout distributed and the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary's Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes. The financial information in the handouts and PowerPoint slides is unaudited and non-GAAP.

Ms. S.A. Perkinson; and Mr. J.A. Wright left the meeting. Ms. E.M. Gould; and Messrs. R.J. Guderian and J.W. Tiedmann entered the meeting.

SRP Customer Research

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Alaina P. Chabrier, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Communications Executive, stated that the purpose of the presentation was to provide information regarding three ongoing customer research tracking studies, their purpose, their use, and the key insights gained from them. Ms. A.P. Chabrier introduced Elise M. Gould, SRP Senior Director of Insights, Brand Strategy, and Communications.

Ms. E.M. Gould focused on the following ongoing tracking studies: the J.D. Power and Associates Electric Utility Residential Customer Satisfaction Survey (J.D. Power), the Customer Perspectives Residential Power Survey (CP), and the Broad Customer Experience Survey (BCX). She said that J.D. Power is used for comparison to the industry, monitoring industry best practices, and monitoring customer issues; CP is used for tracking Employee Performance Incentive Compensation Award (EPIC) performance, helping prioritize goals based on key drivers, monitoring customer issues, and tracking topical issues; and BCX is used for observing the impact of a single/bundle of programs on customer experience and other metrics, linking overall customer experience to interaction customer experience to help prioritize actions, and monitoring customer issues.

Ms. E.M. Gould provided an overview of the J.D. Power 2022 Electric Utility Residential Customer Satisfaction Survey results and provided the following key takeaways: SRP ranked highest in the nation (three years out of 24 years) and ranked highest in the West Large region (23 years out of 24 years – 21 years in a row). She discussed the following uses of the J.D. Power survey results: compare performance in six key areas to other utilities nationwide; guide where to focus efforts; improve website, mobile apps, and outage communications; realize opportunity to increase communications recall, awareness of sustainability, and safety initiatives; and understand expectations of customers moving into service territory.

Continuing, Ms. E.M. Gould provided an overview of the CP survey results for the second quarter of Fiscal Year 2023 (FY23) and provided the following key takeaways:
customer satisfaction and experience remain stable; notable improvements in performance include power reliability, the use of renewable energy sources increased to an all-time high, website, metrics directly related to the water management, and Valued Business Energy Manager ratings having the appropriate knowledge; and opportunities exist with more ways to save (overall pricing and value perceptions), energy efficiency programs, communication about environmental efforts, and commitment to the future and innovation.

Ms. E.M. Gould concluded with an overview of the BCX survey results and provided the following key takeaways: BCX capabilities include tracking sentiment and ratings in near real-time, flexible surveys, detecting small changes in corporate metrics over time, enabling advanced analysis and modeling of customers (behavior, needs, and expectations), and monitoring customers’ opinions on new or piloted programs; and opportunities include understanding key drivers of overall customer experience and other metrics and developing new programs and services.

Ms. E.M. Gould responded to questions from the Board.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Ms. E.M. Gould; and Messrs. R.J. Guderian and J.W. Tiedmann left the meeting.

Open Meeting Law Requirements for SRP Elected Officials

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Ken J. Lee, SRP Senior Director of Legal Services, stated that the purpose of the presentation was to provide information regarding the Arizona Open Meeting Law (OML) requirements for SRP elected officials. He discussed the overall purpose and interpretation of OML and said that the OML is intended to maximize public access to the governmental process, open deliberations and proceedings to the public, and prevent public bodies from making decisions in secret. Mr. K.J. Lee stated that the District’s Board, Council, and their respective Committees, as well as the Boards of the District’s subsidiaries and the SRP CUP are considered public bodies subject to the OML.

Mr. K.J. Lee said that a meeting could occur in person or through technological means. He stated that when a quorum of a public body discusses, proposes, or takes legal action, it is considered a meeting and must meet the requirements of the OML. Mr. K.J. Lee stated that per the OML, public meetings can occur through a one-way electronic communication by one member sent to a quorum of the members of a public body that proposes legal action or through an exchange of electronic communications among a quorum of the members that involves a discussion, deliberation, or the taking of legal action by the public body concerning a matter likely to come before the public body for action.
Continuing, Mr. K.J. Lee discussed how serial communications may violate the OML. He discussed guidelines regarding meeting agendas, communications with media and public, executive sessions, and closed sessions. Mr. K.J. Lee concluded with an overview of the possible consequences of noncompliance.

Mr. K.J. Lee responded to questions from the Board.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary's Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures for SRP Elected Officials

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Mr. K.J. Lee stated that the purpose of the presentation was to provide information regarding Conflict of Interest disclosures for SRP elected officials.

Mr. K.J. Lee reviewed the Arizona law regarding conflicts of interest and statutory requirements. He defined the meaning of relatives, substantial interest, and remote interests. Mr. K.J. Lee outlined the procedures of what to do if an elected official believes that there may be a conflict, the SRP process for Conflict of Interest disclosures, and the potential sanctions for violating the law.

Mr. K.J. Lee responded to questions from the Board.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary's Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Third Party Communication and Social Media Policy for SRP Elected Officials

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Mr. K.J. Lee stated that the purpose of the presentation was to provide information on the Third Party Communication and Social Media Policy for elected officials.

Mr. K.J. Lee stated that the policy was approved by the Board in March of 2019 and attempts to balance elected officials’ individual rights to communicate to voters with SRP’s need as an entity to speak with one voice. He said that in third party communications regarding SRP operations or policies or when creating or using social media sites or pages, the Members must make it clear that the Member is speaking in their individual capacity and not on behalf of SRP. Mr. K.J. Lee noted that an appropriate disclaimer must be included, and he provided an example of an appropriate disclaimer.

Continuing, Mr. K.J. Lee explained that for SRP intellectual property, the policy provides the following: structure and guidance on the use of SRP intellectual property, including the terms “SRP” and “Salt River Project;” and a process if a dispute arises regarding an elected official’s use of SRP’s intellectual property.
Mr. K.J. Lee requested that Members provide the Corporate Secretary’s Office with any required information regarding social media sites, review and be cognizant of the policy, and contact the Corporate Secretary or Legal Services with any questions related to the policy. He concluded with an overview of the appropriate use of SRP elected official email addresses.

Mr. K.J. Lee responded to questions from the Board.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

**SRP Standards of Conduct and Written Procedures**

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Karilee S. Ramaley, SRP Senior Principal Attorney, stated that the purpose of the presentation was to provide training regarding the SRP Standards of Conduct and Written Procedures (SOC Procedures). She reminded the Directors that the Board established the SOC Procedures in order to govern the use of confidential transmission information and to help ensure fair wholesale energy and transmission markets. Ms. K.S. Ramaley stated that while the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) adopted SOC rules applicable to regulated utilities, the SRP Board established SRP’s SOC Procedures to be consistent with FERC’s rules. She said that all SRP employees, officers, directors, contractors and consultants must comply with the SOC Procedures.

Continuing, Ms. K.S. Ramaley explained the following SOC classifications: transmission function employees, marketing function employees, and no conduit employees. She provided a summary of general requirements of the SOC Procedures, non-public transmission function information, and the limited exceptions.

Ms. K.S. Ramaley responded to questions from the Board.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Ms. E.J. Roelfs entered the meeting.

**Public Records Act Requirements**

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Katy A. Heth, SRP Principal Managing Attorney, stated that the purpose of the presentation was to provide information regarding Public Records Act requirements. She described the Public Records Act as it relates to the District. Ms. K.A. Heth said that for the purpose of accountability and transparency in government, the Arizona Public Records Act was originally adopted in Arizona in 1901.
Ms. K.A. Heth reviewed the Arizona Public Records Act background and application. She defined the meaning of public record, officer, and public body, and discussed the District’s position.

Ms. K.A. Heth responded to questions from the Board.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Ms. E.J. Roelfs left the meeting.

**Executive Session: Ellis v. SRP**

President D. Rousseau requested a motion to enter into executive session of the Board of Directors, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4), to have discussion or consultation with attorneys for legal advice and to give instructions on pending and contemplated litigation with respect to Ellis, et al. v. SRP, Case No. 2:19-cv-01228-SMB.

On a motion duly made by Director J.M. White Jr., seconded by Director M.J. Herrera and carried, the District Board convened into executive session at 11:11 a.m.

Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty polled the Directors on Director J.M. White Jr.’s motion to enter into executive session. The vote was recorded as follows:

- **YES:** President D. Rousseau; and Directors R.C. Arnett, N.R. Brown, M.J. Herrera, K.J. Johnson, A.G. McAfee, R.J. Miller, K.L. Mohr-Almeida, K.H. O’Brien, M.V. Pace, P.E. Rovey, J.M. White Jr., L.C. Williams, S.H. Williams, and K.B. Woods (15)
- **NO:** None (0)
- **ABSTAINED:** None (0)
- **ABSENT:** None (0)

Tammi Watson of CAP; Emily Martinez of Innovant; Autumn Johnson of Tierra Strategy; and Nancy Schwarz of HP left the meeting.

Messrs. J.C. Robertson and G.M. Smedley left the meeting.

**Executive Session: SRP v. ACC**

President D. Rousseau requested a motion to enter into executive session of the Board of Directors, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4), to provide an update and to have discussion or consultation with attorneys for legal advice regarding SRP’s Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) application regarding the Coolidge Expansion Project (CEP), SRP v. Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC), Maricopa County Superior Court CV-2022-008624.

On a motion duly made by Director J.M. White Jr., seconded by Director M.V. Pace and carried, the District Board convened into executive session at 11:26 a.m.

Corporate Secretary J.M. Felty polled the Directors on Director J.M. White Jr.’s motion to enter into executive session. The vote was recorded as follows:

- **YES:** President D. Rousseau; and Directors R.C. Arnett, N.R. Brown, M.J. Herrera, K.J. Johnson, A.G. McAfee, R.J. Miller, K.L. Mohr-Almeida, K.H. O’Brien, M.V. Pace, P.E. Rovey, J.M. White Jr., L.C. Williams, S.H. Williams, and K.B. Woods (15)
- **NO:** None (0)
- **ABSTAINED:** None (0)
- **ABSENT:** None (0)

Director K.L. Mohr-Almeida left the meeting.


Director K.L. Mohr-Almeida; Tammi Watson of CAP; and Nancy Schwarz of HP entered the meeting.

**Report on Current Events by the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer and Designees**

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Jim M. Pratt, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Customer Executive, reported on a variety of federal, state, and local topics of
interest to the District. Kelly J. Barr, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Strategy, Corporate Services, and Sustainability Executive, provided an update on the Southwest Clean Hydrogen Innovation Network (SHINe), a regional clean hydrogen hub in the Southwest developed by SRP and other members from the Center for an Arizona Carbon-Neutral Economy (AzCaNE).

Rob R. Taylor, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Public Affairs Executive, provided a political update. Geri A. Mingura, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Human Resources Executive, provided an update on the SRP succession planning and Board selection process of the SRP General Manager and Chief Executive Officer.

Copies of the PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Status of Power System

Using a PowerPoint presentation, John D. Coggins, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Power System Executive, provided an update on the SRP’s power system. Referencing the 2022 Grid Performance Report, he provided a summary of the distribution system’s reliability performance.

Copies of the handouts and PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Status of Financial and Information Services

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Aidan J. McSheffrey, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Financial Executive, reviewed the combined net revenue (CNR) for the fiscal year-to-date; the status of collections through November 2022 within the Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment Mechanism; and the preliminary retail energy sales for Fiscal Year 2023 (FY23). He provided a summary of wholesale net revenue for December. Mr. A.J. McSheffrey concluded with a review of SRP’s recent bond refunding and new money deals.

Copies of the handout distributed and PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Status of Water Resources

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Leslie A. Meyers, SRP Associate General Manager and Chief Water Resources Executive, provided an update on water resources. She provided an overview of the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority of Arizona (WIFA) and discussed the possible projects for WIFA funding.
Copies of PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Mr. C.E. Ester entered the meeting during the presentation.

Reservoir and Weather Report

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Charlie E. Ester, SRP Manager of Surface Water Resources, reviewed the total precipitation from December 27, 2022 to January 3, 2023 and discussed the cumulative watershed precipitation outlook from October 2022 to June 2023. He provided images of the current snowpack and the Tonto Creek streamflow on January 1, 2023 and January 2, 2023. Mr. C.E. Ester reviewed the Salt, Tonto, and Verde January 2, 2023 peak streamflows and provided comparison of Water Year 2022 and Water Year 2023 streamflow forecasts.

Continuing, Mr. C.E. Ester reviewed the reservoir storage data for the Salt River, Verde River, C.C. Cragin Reservoir, Lake Pleasant, San Carlos Reservoir, and Upper and Lower Colorado River Basin systems as of January 1, 2023. He reviewed the surface runoff and pumping data for December 2022 and year-to-date.

Mr. C.E. Ester provided an overview of the Colorado River Basin snowpack as of January 5, 2023. He provided a seven-day precipitation forecast and concluded with a review of the eight to fourteen-day percent of normal precipitation from January 13, 2023 through January 19, 2023 and seasonal percent of normal precipitation from January 2023 through March 2023.

Copies of PowerPoint slides used in this presentation are on file in the Corporate Secretary’s Office and, by reference, made a part of these minutes.

Council Chairman’s Report

There was no report by Council Chairman T.M. Francis.

President’s Report/Future Agenda Topics

President D. Rousseau asked the Board if there were any future agenda topics. Director R.J. Miller requested a presentation on other irrigation use of solar panels to cover canals and an evaluation of the viability for deployment on the SRP system.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:59 p.m.

John M. Felty
Corporate Secretary
Statement of Cash Received and Disbursed

November 2022

($000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Balance Beginning of Period</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Total Month</th>
<th>Year-to-Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$915,513</td>
<td>$1,238</td>
<td>$916,751</td>
<td>$1,007,546</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash Receipts:

- Electric Revenues 301,467
- Water Revenues ---
- Electric Customer Deposits 3,810
- Reimbursement on Joint Ownership Projects 8,552
- Construction Contributions and Advances 9,489
- Proceeds from Bond Sales ---
- Proceeds from Other Borrowings ---
- Transfers from Segregated Funds ---
- Sales Tax Collected 14,292
- Other Cash Receipts 15,197

Total Cash Receipts 352,807

Fund Transfers - Net (4,547)

Cash Disbursements:

- Purchased Power and Fuel 117,514
- Operations and Maintenance 82,829
- Employee Payroll and Payroll Taxes 60,328
- Purchased Inventory 17,739
- Cash Segregated for -
  - Bond Interest 15,318
- Bond Principal 9,076
- Other Debt - Principal Repayment ---
- Other Debt - Interest Expense 1,327
- Capital Expenditures 58,651
- Advances on Joint Ownership Projects ---
- Transfers to Segregated Funds ---
- In Lieu and Ad Valorem Taxes 58,147
- Sales Tax Remitted 29,504
- Margin and Collateral Disbursed - Net 23,687
- Miscellaneous Cash Disbursements 927

Total Cash Disbursements 427,673

Fund Balance End of Period

$836,100 $1,699 $837,799 $837,799
## Cash Position
### November 2022

### Composition of Funds Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>District</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>$365,413</td>
<td>$1,699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$367,112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Temporary Investments</td>
<td>292,893</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>292,893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Non-Current Investments</td>
<td>177,794</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>177,794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>836,100</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>837,799</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Segregated Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric System Debt Reserve Fund</td>
<td>80,598</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80,598</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Fund</td>
<td>180,310</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180,310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Stabilization Fund</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Decommissioning Fund</td>
<td>532,184</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>532,184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Retirement Benefits Fund</td>
<td>999,627</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>999,627</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Fund</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHCP Fund</td>
<td>11,214</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHCP Fund</td>
<td>7,464</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,464</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRHCP Fund</td>
<td>3,264</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Corners Mine Reclamation Trust</td>
<td>11,962</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Special Funds</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>599</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Segregated Funds</td>
<td>$1,827,222</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,827,222</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statement of Cash Received and Disbursed

**December 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Total Month</th>
<th>Year-to-Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fund Balance Beginning of Period</strong></td>
<td>$836,100</td>
<td>$1,699</td>
<td>$837,799</td>
<td>$1,007,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Receipts:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Revenues</td>
<td>254,302</td>
<td></td>
<td>254,302</td>
<td>2,651,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Revenues</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3,920</td>
<td>3,920</td>
<td>10,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Customer Deposits</td>
<td>7,288</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,288</td>
<td>39,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement on Joint Ownership Projects</td>
<td>8,318</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,318</td>
<td>61,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contributions and Advances</td>
<td>48,362</td>
<td></td>
<td>48,362</td>
<td>228,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from Bond Sales</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from Other Borrowings</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers from Segregated Funds</td>
<td>26,202</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,202</td>
<td>118,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Tax Collected</td>
<td>13,967</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,967</td>
<td>188,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Cash Receipts</td>
<td>8,045</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,045</td>
<td>82,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash Receipts</strong></td>
<td>366,484</td>
<td>3,920</td>
<td>370,404</td>
<td>3,380,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fund Transfers - Net</strong></td>
<td>(2,109)</td>
<td>2,109</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Disbursements:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased Power and Fuel</td>
<td>91,934</td>
<td></td>
<td>91,934</td>
<td>937,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance</td>
<td>78,592</td>
<td>1,539</td>
<td>80,131</td>
<td>651,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Payroll and Payroll Taxes</td>
<td>53,891</td>
<td>3,225</td>
<td>57,116</td>
<td>457,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased Inventory</td>
<td>18,592</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,592</td>
<td>168,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Segregated for -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Interest</td>
<td>15,318</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,318</td>
<td>122,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Principal</td>
<td>9,076</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,076</td>
<td>73,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Debt - Principal Repayment</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Debt - Interest Expense</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>7,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenditures</td>
<td>75,287</td>
<td></td>
<td>75,287</td>
<td>498,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advances on Joint Ownership Projects</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers to Segregated Funds</td>
<td>26,732</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,732</td>
<td>117,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Lieu and Ad Valorem Taxes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>121,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Tax Remitted</td>
<td>17,853</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,853</td>
<td>226,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin and Collateral Disbursed - Net</td>
<td>62,964</td>
<td></td>
<td>62,964</td>
<td>232,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Cash Disbursements</td>
<td>1,354</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,354</td>
<td>20,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash Disbursements</strong></td>
<td>452,953</td>
<td>4,764</td>
<td>457,717</td>
<td>3,637,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fund Balance End of Period</strong></td>
<td>$747,522</td>
<td>$2,964</td>
<td>$750,486</td>
<td>$750,486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Cash Position

### December 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition of Funds Balance</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>$266,974</td>
<td>$2,964</td>
<td>$269,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Temporary Investments</td>
<td>329,898</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>329,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Non-Current Investments</td>
<td>150,650</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>150,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>747,522</td>
<td>2,964</td>
<td>750,486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segregated Funds</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric System Debt Reserve Fund</td>
<td>80,598</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>80,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Fund</td>
<td>178,502</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>178,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Stabilization Fund</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Decommissioning Fund</td>
<td>563,898</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>563,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Retirement Benefits Fund</td>
<td>1,065,125</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,065,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Fund</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHCP Fund</td>
<td>11,827</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHCP Fund</td>
<td>7,866</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRHCP Fund</td>
<td>3,431</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Corners Mine Reclamation Trust</td>
<td>12,006</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>12,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Special Funds</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Segregated Funds      | $1,923,853 | --- | $1,923,853 |
RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE DEDICATION OF APPROXIMATELY 3.7 ACRES OF DISTRICT OWNED LAND, LOCATED IN MARICOPA COUNTY

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT AS FOLLOWS:

The dedication of land described in “Exhibit A” attached hereto (“Property”) by the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (the “District”) to Maricopa County, (“County”), is hereby approved; and

The President, David Rousseau, and Vice President, Christopher J. Dobson, of the District be, and each is hereby authorized and directed, in the name and on behalf of the District, to execute and deliver a Deed for the Property; and

The Senior Director, Managers and Staff of the Land Department are hereby authorized and directed, in the name and on behalf of the District, to execute and deliver any and all documents, except the Deed, which are necessary or advisable to fulfill the terms, purposes, and intent of the Agreement, and to carry into effect the intent of this Resolution.
LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THE SOUTH 65.00 FEET OF LOT 4 AND THE SOUTH 65.00 FEET OF THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, ALL IN SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 1 SOUTH, RANGE 6 WEST, GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.

RIGHT OF WAY DEDICATION CONTAINS 3.70 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, AND IS SUBJECT TO ALL EASEMENTS, RESERVATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY.

BEARING, DISTANCES AND AREA ARE BASED ON ARIZONA STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NAD83(2011), INTERNATIONAL FEET.

LEGEND

SECTION LINE
FOUND MONUMENT
DEDICATION AREA

NORTH QUARTER CORNER OF SECTION 07, TOWNSHIP 1 SOUTH, RANGE 6 WEST (FOUND 2.5 INCH BRASS CAP)

WEST QUARTER CORNER OF SECTION 07, TOWNSHIP 1 SOUTH, RANGE 6 WEST (FOUND 2.5 INCH BRASS CAP)

SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4, SECTION 07, TOWNSHIP 1 SOUTH, RANGE 6 WEST (FOUND 3 INCH BRASS CAP)

SOUTH QUARTER CORNER OF SECTION 07, TOWNSHIP 1 SOUTH, RANGE 6 WEST (FOUND 1/2 INCH IRON ROD)

Lot 4 Sec. 07 T1S R6W

E1/2-SW1/4 Sec. 07 T1S R6W

N89°24'32"W 2476.63

N00°34'15"E 2576.27

N00°25'56"E 65.00

N00°25'56"E 2578.17

N00°25'56"E 2578.17

Southwest Solar Project
Maricopa County, Arizona

Exhibit "A"
Right of Way Dedication
DATE: 02/27/2022
RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF FEE INTEREST IN PINAL CENTRAL RECEIVING STATION IN COOLIDGE, ARIZONA

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT AS FOLLOWS:

The conveyance of a portion of fee interest in Pinal Central Receiving Station as shown in “Exhibit A” (“Subject Property”) attached hereto by the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (the “District”) to Electrical District No. 2 (“ED2”) as outlined in in the Palo Verde – Pinal Central 500kV Transmission Project Participation Agreement, is hereby approved; and

The President, David Rousseau, and Vice President, Christopher J. Dobson, of the District be, and each is hereby authorized and directed, in the name and on behalf of the District, to execute and deliver the Deed (“Deed”); and

The Senior Director, Managers and Staff of the Land Department are hereby authorized and directed, in the name and on behalf of the District, to execute and deliver any and all documents, except the Deed, which are necessary or advisable to fulfill the terms, purposes, and intent of the Agreement, and to carry into effect the intent of this Resolution.
EXHIBIT A

SUBJECT PROPERTY

Green Outlined Area = ED2 69 kV Switchyard Area
General Manager / CEO
Interview Process Proposals
District and Association Board
Geri Mingura, AGM & Chief HR Executive
February 6, 2023
Agenda

• Review GM/CEO Interview Process Proposals
• Input from Board and Discussion
Proposal 1:

• All Board members engage in interviews with each candidate

• Entire Board would meet and be provided an overview

• Segment Board members into 3 groups – 6 members in each group

• Each group separately interviews each candidate for approximately 1 – 1.5 hrs.

• After each interview, groups debrief

• Upon completion of all interviews, the Board as a whole, discuss their input on each candidate
Proposal 2:

• Entire Board would meet and be provided an overview
• All Board members, as one group interviews each candidate separately
• Interviews with each candidate to last approximately 1 - 1.5 hrs.
• After each candidate is interviewed, the Board will debrief
• Upon completion of all interviews, the Board as a whole, shall provide their input and discussion on each candidate
Discussion

• Board input on which proposal will be used to interview GM/CEO candidates:
  • Board segmented into groups
  • Entire Board
thank you!
SRP 2023 Voluntary Contributions

Board Meeting
Jeremy Fry | February 6, 2023
SRP Voluntary Contributions Quick History

- **Mid-1950’s**
  - Pressure builds to find additional state revenues

- **1963 – Special Legislative Session**
  - Governor convened ‘Citizens Committee’ – New law
    - Preserved SRP’s municipal Status
    - Requires County notification 4/1; DOI approval to pay

- **SRP’s Voluntary Contribution Payments**
  - Paid annually starting 1964
  - Paid only on Generation, Transmission, Distribution
  - Special deductions for costs to deliver Water
SRP Voluntary Contributions Tax Year 2022 $113.7 M

Top 10 Jurisdictions
Maricopa County $10.2
Maricopa Comm. Coll. $ 9.7
Gilbert Unif Sch Dist $ 6.0
St. Johns Unif Sch Dist $ 5.1
Mesa Unified Sch Dist $ 5.6
Tempe Elem Sch Dist $ 5.3
Tempe Union HS Dist $ 4.3
Saddle Mt Unfi Sch Dist $ 4.2
Pinal County $ 4.3
City of Tempe $ 3.8

Top 10 = 51.1% of VC

VC Payments by Category

- Schools: K-12; Community Colleges 68%
- Counties 15%
- Special Districts 8%
- Cities 9%

$77.4 M

344 jurisdictions
## SRP Voluntary Contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tax Year</th>
<th></th>
<th>Tax Year</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2022 Actual</td>
<td>$113,715,064</td>
<td>2023 Estimated</td>
<td>$119,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Voluntary</td>
<td>Contribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reasons for Year/Year Increase

1. Growth in plant $9.2 M
2. Tax Rates Increase $1.0 M
3. Decrease in Assessment Ratio $(4.1 M)

Total Increase $6.1 M
SRP Voluntary Contributions

Request approval to communicate to counties that **SRP is electing to pay Voluntary Contributions for tax year 2023 approximating $120 M.**
Current Events

Kelly Barr
Power System Update

John Coggins
January Operations Summary

• Customer peak demand: 4573 MW
  • Occurred on January 24 with 37-degree temperature
  • 92 MW higher than forecast
• Overall, power system assets performed well
• Maintenance outage season continues
Pattern Energy's SunZia Project Map

Pinal Central Substation

SunZia Transmission
Interconnection Project at Pinal Central Substation

• Purpose
  • Facilitate the delivery of Pattern Energy’s wind generation from central New Mexico (3,000 MW) to potential customers in Arizona and California

• Project scope
  • Interconnect Sun Zia 500kV transmission project from central New Mexico (Customer: Pattern Energy)
  • Interconnect new 230kV line from nearby ED5 substation (Customer: WAPA)
  • Other reliability enhancements
  • Total unloaded project reimbursable cost by customers: $43 million

• Project schedule
  • Construction started in September 2022
  • Construction to be completed April 2025
Pinal Central Substation
Pinal Central Substation
New 230kV Line Dead-end and Circuit Breakers
Pinal Central Substation - 230kV West Bus Extension
Pinal Central Substation – New Transformer Foundation
Financial Update

Aidan McSheffrey
Combined Net Revenues

- December YTD Variance is $114.9
- Actual
- Budget

- May: $200
- Jun: $300
- Jul: $400
- Aug: $500
- Sep: $355.3
- Oct: $240.4
- Nov: $0
- Dec: $100
- Jan: $0
- Feb: $0
- Mar: $0
- Apr: $0

2/6/2023 Board Meeting, A. McSheffrey
# December Financial Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ Millions</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined Revenues</td>
<td>$334.4</td>
<td>$229.8</td>
<td>$104.6</td>
<td>146%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Expenses</td>
<td>$375.1</td>
<td>$290.0</td>
<td>$85.1</td>
<td>129%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comb Net Revs (Loss)</td>
<td>($40.7)</td>
<td>($60.2)</td>
<td>$19.5</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds Available</td>
<td>$1.5</td>
<td>($17.2)</td>
<td>$18.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenditures</td>
<td>$108.0</td>
<td>$114.1</td>
<td>($6.1)</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment Mechanism
YTD Through December 2022
Sales estimate for January 2023 is 2,271 GWh or 3.9% above budget. Year-end variance is projected to be 0.7% above budget.
January Wholesale Summary

Primary Drivers:
- Above budget wholesale volumes and margins
  - High natural gas prices continue to keep electricity prices elevated in the Western U.S.
Santa Rosa Canal
Settlement Agreement Terms

Funding for Decoupling Wells

- Districts receive commitment of government funding by December 31, 2022 – sufficient to fund the “decoupling project. $50 million ($25 million each) will satisfy
- Funding to date – $13.9 M ($5M – MSIDD WaterSMART, $5M – AZ, $3.8M - $1.9M each)
- Districts notify Ak Chin by Jan. 9.
- Agreement by Ak Chin and districts to extend period to Feb. 20

Staged Decoupling of the Districts’ Wells from the Santa Rosa Canal – 3 yrs

- Yr 1 – 6 designated MSIDD wells, 6 designated CAIDD wells
- Yr 2 – 6 designated MSIDD wells, 6 designated CAIDD wells
- Yr 3 – cease all groundwater pumping into the SRC.

Limitations on winter pumping every yr.
## Settlement Terms Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Santa Rosa Canal Settlement (Year 1, 2, 3)</th>
<th>Central Arizona Project Wheeling Water Quality (Proposed delivery standard)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg/l)</td>
<td>140, 130, 120</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride (mg/l)</td>
<td>150, 150, 150</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.50, 0.50, 0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity (ECw) (dS/m)</td>
<td>1.3, 1.2, 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Absorption Rate (SAR)</td>
<td>3.7, 3.5, 3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate, as Nitrogen (mg/l)</td>
<td>7, 6, 4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (mg/l)</td>
<td>750, 725, 700</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
thank you!
### Operating Environment
**December 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elec Customers – Dec 2022</td>
<td>1,132,828</td>
<td>1,129,411</td>
<td>3,417</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elec Customers - April 2022</td>
<td>1,112,684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elec Customers – Dec 2021</td>
<td>1,112,236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Sales GWH</td>
<td>2,202.0</td>
<td>2,188.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>101%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Sales GWH</td>
<td>539.1</td>
<td>567.8</td>
<td>(28.7)</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total A.F. Water Delivered</td>
<td>30,502</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>1,502</td>
<td>105%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Non-GAAP, Unaudited)

### Financial Summary
**December 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$ Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actual</strong></td>
<td>$334.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget</strong></td>
<td>$229.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variance</strong></td>
<td>$104.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% of Budget</strong></td>
<td>146%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Revenues</td>
<td>$375.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Expenses</td>
<td>$290.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comb Net Revs (Loss)</td>
<td>($40.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds Available</td>
<td>$1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenditures</td>
<td>$108.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Non-GAAP, Unaudited)
Debt Ratio
December 2022

(Non-GAAP, Unaudited)

Debt Service Coverage Ratio
December 2022

(Non-GAAP, Unaudited)
Water Supply and Weather Report

February Board Meeting
February 6, 2023

Stephen Flora
January Storm Totals
Cumulative Watershed Precipitation:
Fall-Winter-Spring (WY 2023)

9.61" (163% of Normal)
Reservoir Inflows – January runoff response

January Reservoir Inflow:

- High efficiency runoff and streamflow response from Jan 1-3 and Jan 15-17 storms
- Salt River observed significant runoff up to a peak near 25,000 cfs. Tonto Creek observed increases up to 18,000 cfs.
- Verde River increased up to 16,000 cfs in January.
- Total SRP reservoir inflow for Jan 1-31 is well above median at about 341,000 AF (681% of median). 9th highest January inflow on record.
Runoff - January 17, 2023

Tonto Creek on 1/17 @ 12:00 (18,500 cfs)

Sycamore Creek on 1/17 @13:00 (~1,000 cfs)
Resulted in spill Granite Reef up to 700 cfs
Verde Watershed Snowpack – January 27, 2023

Fry SNOTEL
47” depth and 12.1” SWE
Oak Creek Watershed (7,200’)

I-40 near Parks, AZ (24-36”)

Bar-M Wash 6,400’ (27” depth)

Happy Jack SNOTEL
43.5” depth and 12.4” SWE
Lake Mary Road (7500’)

Watershed Snowpack - Feb 1, 2023

Verde - Snow coverage: 54%, SWE volume: 604,000 AF

Salt - Snow coverage: 66%, SWE volume: 468,000 AF
Watershed Snowpack - Feb 1, 2023

2nd highest Verde snowpack (SWE) in last 30 years (2010)
Water Year 2023 Streamflow Forecast

Feb 1 Streamflow Forecast

For Jan 1 – May 31, 2023

Salt - 489,000 AF
Tonto - 104,000 AF
Verde - 419,000 AF

Total – 1,012,000 AF (224% of median)

Last year actual – 217,000 AF

Reservoir Projections:

Roosevelt – 96% by May 1

Verde System -100% with potential spill near 100,000 AF
SRP Reservoir System Status

February 1, 2023

**Current Storage:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Storage (AF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>1,597,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verde</td>
<td>194,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,791,909</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Central Arizona Reservoir Status

February 1, 2023

Total SRP Storage: 1,791,909 af (78%)
Total Central Arizona Storage: 2,704,879 af (67%)
January 2023

Surface Runoff

- Verde
- Salt

- Actual
- 30-Yr Median
- Planned

Pumping

- WSRV
- ESRV

- Actual
- Planned
Due to well above median inflows and snowpack on the watershed, the total PROP Groundwater use for 2023 is expected to be reduced by at least 50KAF (or more).

A reduction of 50KAF (changing total 2023 GW use from 200 KAF to 150 KAF) has been implemented for current operations.

A final determination will be made in Feb/March for reduction in GW for PROP.
Colorado River System
Reservoir Status
Total System Contents – 32% or 19.080 MAF
(Total system contents last year 37% or 21.813 MAF)
February 1, 2023
Colorado River Basin Snowpack (SWE) – February 1, 2023

CBRFC
Unregulated Inflow into Lake Powell Forecast (April – July)

6,700,000 AF (105% of average)
Seasonal Precipitation Outlook

Valid: Feb-Mar-Apr 2023
Issued: January 19, 2023
thank you!